



Annual Audit Letter

Chief Constable of North Yorkshire
Year ending 31 March 2018





CONTENTS

1. Executive summary
2. Audit of the financial statements
3. Value for Money conclusion
4. Other reporting responsibilities
5. Our fees
6. Forward look

Our reports are prepared in the context of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd. Reports and letters prepared by appointed auditors and addressed to members or officers are prepared for the sole use of the Chief Constable of North Yorkshire and we take no responsibility to any member or officer in their individual capacity or to any third party. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Purpose of the Annual Audit Letter

Our Annual Audit Letter summarises the work we have undertaken as the auditor for the Chief Constable of North Yorkshire (the Chief Constable) for the year ended 31 March 2018. Although this letter is addressed to the Chief Constable, it is designed to be read by a wider audience including members of the public and other external stakeholders.

Our responsibilities are defined by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (the NAO). The detailed sections of this letter provide details on those responsibilities, the work we have done to discharge them, and the key findings arising from our work. These are summarised below.

Area of responsibility	Summary
Audit of the financial statements	<p>Our auditor's report issued on 26 July 2018 included our opinion that the financial statements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">gave a true and fair view of the Chief Constable's financial position as at 31 March 2018 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; andhad been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2017/18.
Other information published alongside the audited financial statements	<p>Our auditor's report issued on 26 July 2018 included our opinion that the other information in the Statement of Accounts was consistent with the audited financial statements.</p>
Value for Money conclusion	<p>Our auditor's report concluded that we were satisfied that in all significant respects, the Chief Constable had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2018.</p>
Statutory reporting	<p>Our auditor's report confirmed that we did not use our powers under section 24 of the 2014 Act to issue a report in the public interest or to make written recommendations to the Chief Constable.</p>

2. AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Opinion on the financial statements

Unqualified

The scope of our audit and the results of our work

The purpose of our audit is to provide reasonable assurance to users that the financial statements are free from material error. We do this by expressing an opinion on whether the statements are prepared, in all material respects, in line with the financial reporting framework applicable to the Chief Constable and whether they give a true and fair view of the Chief Constable's financial position as at 31 March 2018 and of its financial performance for the year then ended.

Our audit was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Audit Practice issued by the NAO, and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs). These require us to consider whether:

- the accounting policies are appropriate to the Chief Constable's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed;
- the significant accounting estimates made by management in the preparation of the financial statements are reasonable; and
- the overall presentation of the financial statements provides a true and fair view.

Our approach to materiality

We apply the concept of materiality when planning and performing our audit, and when evaluating the effect of misstatements identified as part of our work. We consider the concept of materiality at numerous stages throughout the audit process, in particular when determining the nature, timing and extent of our audit procedures, and when evaluating the effect of uncorrected misstatements. An item is considered material if its misstatement or omission could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users of the financial statements.

Judgements about materiality are made in the light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by both qualitative and quantitative factors. As a result we have set materiality for the financial statements as a whole (financial statement materiality) and a lower level of materiality for specific items of account (specific materiality) due to the nature of these items or because they attract public interest. We also set a threshold for reporting identified misstatements to the Chief Constable and Joint Independent Audit Committee. We call this our trivial threshold.

The table below provides details of the materiality levels applied in the audit of the Chief Constable's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2018:

Financial statement materiality	Our financial statement materiality was based on 2% of gross operating expenditure.	£3.708 million
Trivial threshold	Our trivial threshold was based on 3% of financial statement materiality.	£0.111 million
Specific materiality	We applied a lower level of materiality to the following areas of the accounts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Officer remuneration • Related Party Transactions • Exit Packages 	£0.005 million £0.005 million £0.005 million

1. Executive summary

2. Audit of the financial statements

3. Value for Money conclusion

4. Other reporting responsibilities

5. Our fees

6. Forward look

2. AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Our response to significant risks

As part of our continuous planning procedures we considered whether there were risks of material misstatement in the Chief Constable's financial statements that required special audit consideration. We reported significant risks identified at the planning stage to the Chief Constable and Joint Independent Audit Committee within our Audit Strategy Memorandum and provided details of how we responded to those risks in our Audit Completion Report. The table below outlines the identified significant risks, the work we carried out on those risks and our conclusions.

Identified significant risk	Our response	Our findings and conclusions
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>In all entities, management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, we consider there to be a risk of material misstatement due to fraud and thus a significant risk on all audits.</p>	<p>We addressed this risk through performing audit work over:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting estimates impacting on amounts included in the financial statements; • consideration of identified significant transactions outside the normal course of business; and • journals recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in preparation of the financial statements. 	<p>Our work provided the assurance we sought and did not highlight any material issues in respect of management override.</p>
<p>Revenue recognition</p> <p>There is a risk of fraud in the financial reporting relating to revenue recognition due to the potential to inappropriately record revenue in the wrong period.</p> <p>Due to there being a risk of fraud in revenue recognition we consider it to be a significant risk.</p>	<p>We addressed this risk through substantive testing of transactions posted pre and post year end.</p>	<p>Our work provided the assurance we sought and did not highlight any material issues in respect of revenue recognition.</p>
<p>Defined benefit liability valuation</p> <p>The financial statements contain material pension entries in respect of retirement benefits. The calculation of these pension figures, both assets and liabilities, can be subject to significant volatility and includes estimates based upon a complex interaction of actuarial assumptions. This results in an increased risk of material misstatement.</p>	<p>In addition to our standard audit programme we addressed this risk through the following procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • discussions with key contacts on any significant changes to the pensions estimates prior to the preparation of the final accounts; • Evaluation of the management controls in place to assess the reasonableness of the figures provided by the actuaries; and • consideration of the reasonableness of the actuaries outputs, referring to an expert's report on all actuaries nationally which is commissioned annually by the National Audit Office. 	<p>Our work provided the assurance we sought and did not highlight any material issues. Our work identified no indication of material estimation error in respect of pensions.</p>

1. Executive summary

2. Audit of the financial statements

3. Value for Money conclusion

4. Other reporting responsibilities

5. Our fees

6. Forward look

2. AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Internal control recommendations

As part of our audit we considered the internal controls in place that are relevant to the preparation of the financial statements. We did this to design audit procedures that allow us to express our opinion on the financial statements, but this did not extend to us expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controls. We identified no deficiencies in internal control as part of our audit which required reporting.

1. Executive summary

2. Audit of the financial statements

3. Value for Money conclusion

4. Other reporting responsibilities

5. Our fees

6. Forward look

3. VALUE FOR MONEY CONCLUSION

Value for Money conclusion

Unqualified

Our approach to Value for Money

We are required to consider whether the Chief Constable has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out in order to form our conclusion, and sets out the criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

The overall criterion is that, 'in all significant respects, the Chief Constable had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.' To assist auditors in reaching a conclusion on this overall criterion, the following sub-criteria are set out by the NAO:

- Informed decision making.
- Sustainable resource deployment.
- Working with partners and other third parties.

Our auditor's report, issued to the Chief Constable on 26 July 2018, stated that, in all significant respects, the Chief Constable put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31st March 2018.

Sub-criteria	Commentary	Matters to report
Informed decision making	<p><i>Acting in the public interest, through demonstrating and applying the principles and values of sound governance</i></p> <p>An established governance framework is in place. Police and Crime Plan 2017 – 2021 communicates the policing priorities. A Joint Independent Audit Committee is in place and has met throughout the year. Police and Crime Panel has met during the year.</p> <p><i>Understanding and using appropriate and reliable financial and performance information to support informed decision making and performance management including where relevant, business cases supporting significant investment decisions</i></p> <p>Arrangements in place to monitor, review and report financial and performance information during the year. Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) in place, and updated routinely. As reported in the Statement of Accounts the Group overspent by £1.8m in the year. This was identified at an early stage and has been met by reserves. The 2018/19 MTFP has been updated to reflect the pressures in the 2017/18 budget. HMICFRS Crime Data review rated the Force as 'inadequate'. The Force has implemented an action plan in response to the inspection.</p> <p><i>Reliable and timely financial reporting that supports the delivery of strategic priorities</i></p> <p>Performance monitored and reviewed, and regular reporting of financial performance. MTFP in place which links financial plan to strategic priorities.</p> <p><i>Managing risks effectively and maintaining a sound system of internal control</i></p> <p>Risk register and risk management arrangements in place. Risks have been reported to Joint Independent Audit Committee (JIAC) during the year. Internal Audit have reported throughout the year to the JIAC. Annual Governance Statement prepared, reviewed and approved.</p>	None



3. VALUE FOR MONEY CONCLUSION CONTINUED

Sub-criteria	Commentary	Matters to report
Sustainable resource deployment	<p>Planning finances effectively to support the sustainable delivery of strategic priorities and maintain statutory functions</p> <p>In recent years the Group has delivered financial targets. As detailed in the Statement of Accounts a deficit position of £1.8m was delivered in 2017/18 and met by reserves. Group recognises this is not sustainable and the Medium Term Financial Plan has been updated to reflect pressures in the 2017/18 budget. A programme is in place to make efficiencies in order to reinvest in services.</p> <p>Managing and utilising assets effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities</p> <p>A detailed capital plan included in the MTFP. Capital investment links to the delivery against the Police and Crime Plan.</p> <p>Planning, organising and developing the workforce effectively to deliver strategic priorities</p> <p>HR policies and procedures in place. Workforce levels monitored and reported on a regular basis and aligned to strategic plans.</p>	None
Working with partners and other third parties	<p>Working with third parties effectively to deliver strategic priorities and Commissioning services effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities</p> <p>Continued collaboration examples include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regional collaboration in Yorkshire and Humber; • Project Evolve with Durham and Cleveland; and • Joint appointment of Officers with other PCCs; <p>Procuring supplies and services effectively to support the delivery of strategic priorities</p> <p>Written procurement procedures and policies in place.</p>	None

Significant Value for Money risks

The NAO's guidance requires us to carry out work to identify whether or not a risk to the Value for Money conclusion exists. Risk, in the context of our Value for Money work, is the risk that we come to an incorrect conclusion rather than the risk of the arrangements in place at the Chief Constable being inadequate.

In our Audit Strategy Memorandum, we reported that we had identified no significant Value for Money risks. We kept this under review throughout our audit and were satisfied that there were no significant audit risks apparent in respect of VFM for 2017/18.



4. OTHER REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES

Exercise of statutory reporting powers	No matters to report
Other information published alongside the audited financial statements	Consistent

The NAO's Code of Audit Practice and the 2014 Act place wider reporting responsibilities on us, as the Chief Constable external auditor. We set out below, the context of these reporting responsibilities and our findings for each.

Matters which we report by exception

The 2014 Act provides us with specific powers where matters come to our attention that, in our judgement, require reporting action to be taken. We have the power to:

- issue a report in the public interest;
- make a referral to the Secretary of State where we believe that a decision has led to, or would lead to, unlawful expenditure, or an action has been, or would be unlawful and likely to cause a loss or deficiency; and
- make written recommendations to the Chief Constable which must be responded to publically.

We have not exercised any of these statutory reporting powers.

Other information published alongside the financial statements

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to consider whether information published alongside the financial statements is consistent with those statements and our knowledge and understanding of the Chief Constable. In our opinion, the other information in the Statement of Accounts was consistent with the audited financial statements.

5. OUR FEES

Fees for work as the Chief Constable auditor

We reported our proposed fees for the delivery of our work in the Audit Strategy Memorandum, presented to Chief Constable and Joint Independent Audit Committee in February 2018.

Having completed our work for the 2017/18 financial year, we can confirm that our final fees are as follows:

Area of work	2017/18 proposed fee	2017/18 final fee
Delivery of audit work under the NAO Code of Audit Practice	£15,000	£15,000

Fees for other work

We confirm that we have not undertaken any non-audit services for the Chief Constable in the year.

6. FORWARD LOOK

Financial outlook

As reported the Group delivered a year end deficit against the 2017/18 financial plan. General reserves were used to cover this position. Whilst reserves at 31 March 2018 are considered by the Commissioner to be adequate further deficits will not be sustainable. Close monitoring of the 2018/19 financial position will be critical to the delivery of the 2018/19 plan.

The 2018/19 Medium Term Financial Plan has been updated to reflect the pressures faced in 2017/18. The plan sets out the priorities of the Commissioner and Chief Constable for the coming year. This includes seeking and delivering further cost efficiencies, creating a modern digitally enabled workforce and greater transparency in how public money is used locally. The Transformation 2020 and the appointment of a business partner is intended to support the delivery of these priorities in the coming years.

Operational challenges

In 2017/18 HMIFRCS reports included a 'inadequate' rating for the Crime Data Integrity inspection 2017. Actions have been identified to address the findings of the inspectorate and the Force will need to ensure these are implemented in the coming year. Other reports included the Efficiency review which judged the Force as 'require improvement' in the efficiency. The Force is working towards addressing the matters raised in the inspectorates report – for example Transformation 2020.

The Group successfully delivered the 2017/18 Statement of Accounts to the new earlier deadline. New challenges in 2018/19 include the adoption in the Code of new accounting standards IFRS 9 and IFRS 15. The Group will need to consider the impact these new standards will have on its financial reporting.

How we will work with the Chief Constable

Our 2018/19 audit will focus on the risks that these challenges present to the Chief Constable's financial statements and ability to maintain proper arrangements for securing value for money.

We will continue to offer accounting workshops to finance officers and the audit team will continue to work with them to share our knowledge of new accounting developments and we will be on hand to discuss any issues as and when they arise.

1. Executive summary

2. Audit of the financial statements

3. Value for Money conclusion

4. Other reporting responsibilities

5. Our fees

6. Forward look

CONTACT

Cameron Waddell

Partner

0191 383 6300

cameron.waddell@mazars.co.uk

James Collins

Senior Manager

0191 383 6331

james.collins@mazars.co.uk