THE POLICE, FIRE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER FOR NORTH YORKSHIRE

Transport: Hire and Pool Vehicles

FINAL

Internal audit report: 11.18/19

27 February 2019

This report is solely for the use of the persons to whom it is addressed.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party.



CONTENTS

O O I I I I I O							
1 Executive summary			2				
2 Detailed findings			5				
Appendix A: Scope			12				
Appendix B: Further infor	mation		14				
For further information co	ntact		15				
Debrief held	23 November 2018	Internal audit team	Daniel Harris, Head of Internal Audit				
Draft report issued	30 November 2018		Angela Ward, Senior Manager				
Responses received	27 February 2019		Philip Church, Client Manager				
			Andrew Carter, Senior Auditor				
Final report issued	27 February 2019	Client sponsor	Interim Chief Executive Officer				
			Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics				
		Distribution	Interim Chief Executive Officer				
			Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics				

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Background

An audit of the effective and efficient use of hire and pool vehicles was undertaken as part of the approved internal audit periodic plan for 2018 / 2019.

The use of hire and pool vehicles by staff is governed by the transport decision tree which outlines the options available to staff and officers when considering undertaking journeys. Staff and line managers are required to use the tree and make an appropriate assessment relating to the option to take prior to making each business journey:

- Option 1: audio and visual conferencing;
- Option 2: walking or cycling to meetings;
- Option 3: can the journey be carried out by public transport?;
- Option 4: is there a force pool or operational bank car available for the journey?;
- Option 5: can I then use my private vehicle for the journey?; and
- Option 6: the last option is to hire a vehicle?

To support their transport strategy, there are currently 49 operational departmental bank vehicles and 23 force pool vehicles located at key sites throughout the force that individuals can utilise in order to undertake their duties. The annual cost of these pool cars was £60,000 in 2017 / 2018.

Private mileage claims are made via the payroll system on a monthly basis. The total cost of private mileage claims made in 2017 / 2018 was £282.000.

Vehicle hire is provided within the force by two suppliers. The total cost of hire cars in 2017 / 2018 was £284,000 and for van / minibus it was £10.600.

1.2 Conclusion

Information relating to the utilisation and efficient management of operational departmental bank vehicles and force pool cars is not being effectively used to assess whether individuals are operating in accordance with the force's approach to transport. Testing of private mileage claims found that they were not always fully completed with destinations and supporting postcodes not detailed on claim forms. There is no oversight of private mileage claims within the force despite this amounting to £284,000 in 2017 / 2018. Testing of a sample of hire car bookings found that they were not always cancelled when they were no longer required.

We have agreed **five medium** and **two low** priority management actions in relation to these findings. Further details of our findings and actions can be found in section two of this report.

Internal audit opinion:

Taking account of the issues identified, the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner can take **partial assurance** that the controls to manage this area are suitably designed and consistently applied. Action is needed to strengthen the control framework to manage the identified area.



1.3 Key findings

The key findings from this review are as follows:

- We tested a sample of 20 car hire bookings made in September 2018 and in all cases there was a car booking form fully completed by the individual. In all cases fuel cards had been approved by the transport team and their use had been reconciled and in all cases there was a documented reason for the booking taken place.
- We tested all expenditure made with the supplier for minibus / van hire in 2018 / 2019 and confirmed that there had been five bookings made during the year and for all of these bookings there was a fully completed booking form in place and that the booking had been made by business administration. We were able to confirm that fuel cards had been reconciled for the journeys undertaken and that all requests for vehicles were appropriate. Approval for minibus / van hire is detailed on the booking form and in all instances we found that the form had been authorised by the requestor's line manager.
- We obtained the contract currently in place and identified that the contract currently in place was procured through a regional consortium arrangement involving North Yorkshire Police, South Yorkshire Police, West Yorkshire Police and Humberside Police. The contract itself is between South Yorkshire Police and the supplier. This contract has been in place since December 2013 and was initially for a three year period to December 2016 with a one year extension to December 2017. Discussions with the Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics and the Senior Support Officer within the transport department identified that this is currently being taken forward by the regional procurement team as part of a proposed national agreement for the hiring of vehicles. Discussions with the regional procurement team confirmed that it was expected that this would be in place by April 2019 and that the current contract has been extended to cover this period.
- There is not a specific contract in place with the supplier with regards to the minibus and van hire. Instead a mini tender was undertaken in 2017 using a request for tender process and they were awarded the tender. We reviewed the tenders provided and confirmed that the supplier was the cheapest available and that an arrangement via purchase order is in place for 2018 and given the low volume of hires in 2018 / 2019 (five to date) this would appear reasonable.

We have agreed **five medium** priority management actions in relation to the following:

• We reviewed the use of vehicles procedure and confirmed that it detailed the requirements placed on individuals insofar as being able to drive a force vehicle, however there is no information within the procedure that formally documents the process to be followed when deciding with type of vehicle is appropriate for use. We reviewed the transport decision tree which outlines the options available to staff and officers when considering undertaking journeys. Presently, there is no mechanism to hold to account individuals for not following the requirements of the transport decision tree as this is not documented within a policy or procedure.

- There is no specific budget for private mileage claims as this is included within mileage expenses and currently departmental heads have no oversight of this cost.
- We obtained management information from the force's travel system (which records all journeys made by the force fleet) for the month of September and undertook an analysis of the availability of both operational bank vehicles and force pool vehicles. At Fulford Road, whilst the data showed that there were 10 vehicles based at Fulford Road, the fleet list states that there is only one vehicle available therefore there is inconsistent information recorded.

For Scarborough, according to the data, there was one force pool vehicles based at the site and no departmental bank vehicles. However, when we reviewed the fleet list this stated there were six vehicles based at the site available for utilisation. At Harrogate, whilst the data showed that there were 10 vehicles based at Harrogate, the fleet list states that there are 11 vehicles available therefore there is inconsistent information recorded.

- From the 20 car hire bookings we tested, we found that on one occasion an individual had made duplicate bookings for a hire car and that business administration had picked this up and cancelled the second booking. However, there were two occasions where the total mileage undertaken was less than two miles. On both these occasions it appeared that a cancellation should have been made for these car hires, but this was not undertaken by the individual resulting in the force incurring unnecessary expenditure.
- We spot checked all of the 20 claims made to assess whether the correct mileage had been paid and found that there was not enough information to adequately check three claims.

For four claims made, there appeared to be force pool vehicles available according to the system (assuming the data was correct) on the date the journey was made. However, it is not possible to definitively state that force pool vehicles were available as mileage claims do not detail times journeys occurred.

We have agreed **two low** priority management actions and full details of our findings and actions can be found in section two of this report.

1.4 Additional information to support our conclusion

The following table highlights the number and categories of management actions made. The detailed findings section lists the specific actions agreed with management to implement.

Area	Control design not effective*				Agreed actions				
				liance ontrols*	Low	Medium	High		
Hire and pool vehicles	0	(8)	6 * *	(8)	2	5	0		
Total					2	5	0		

^{*} Shows the number of controls not adequately designed or not complied with. The number in brackets represents the total number of controls reviewed in this area. * * Two actions relate to one control.

2 DETAILED FINDINGS

Categorisati	ategorisation of internal audit findings											
Priority	Definition											
Low	There is scope for enhancing control or improving efficiency and quality.											
Medium	Timely management attention is necessary. This is an internal control risk management issue that could lead to: Financial losses which could affect the effective function of a department, loss of controls or process being audited or possible regulatory scrutiny/reputational damage, negative publicity in local or regional media.											
High	Immediate management attention is necessary. This is a serious internal control or risk management issue that may lead to: Substantial losses, violation of corporate strategies, policies or values, regulatory scrutiny, reputational damage, negative publicity in national or international media or adverse regulatory impact, such as loss of operating licences or material fines.											

This report has been prepared by exception. Therefore, we have included in this section, only those areas of weakness in control or examples of lapses in control identified from our testing and not the outcome of all internal audit testing undertaken.

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit findings and implications	Priority	Action for management
1	Hire car bookings are made via the business administrative services intranet site. When individuals require a hire car, they must fill out a car hire booking form and confirm that this has been authorised by their line	Yes	No	 We tested a sample of 20 car hire bookings made in September 2018 and we noted the following: In all cases there was a car booking form fully completed by the individual. In all cases fuel cards had been approved by the transport team and there use had been reconciled. In all cases there was a documented reason for the booking taken place. 	Low	A reminder will be issued to all business administrative staff that only class B vehicles should be booked unless there are significant operational reasons for other classes of vehicle being hired.
	Fuel cards are issued to individuals when they receive the hire car by business administrative services and these are			Currently, there is no requirement for a car hire booking to be authorised. The individual hiring the car complete the booking form and then inserts their line manager in the approval part of the form. This sends and e-mail to the line manager alerting them that the individual has booked a hire car but this is for information rather than approval.		Responsible Officer: Customer Relationship Manager

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit finding	s and implic		Priority	Action for management			
	returned upon completion of the hire. As part of the booking form, the requestor is required to select the type of vehicle they require, with the default being a small car (category B) as this is the lowest priced vehicle. Should a hire car cancellation be required then the individual fills in another booking form to notify business administrative services that they wish to cancel the booking.			Under the agravailable Class vehicle agree forms, we fou occasions. However, hired where hire can be a supplemental to the can be a supplemental to the supplemental t	es A-D and the d for the Force nd that the apowever, for fo nen a class B	standard booking d on 16 ehicle had	Medium	Implementation Date: February 2019 Where staff appear to fail			
				Where hire cars are no longer required then a cancellation should be made via the business administrative services intranet site. From the 20 car hire bookings we tested, we found that on one occasion an individual had made duplicate bookings for a hire car and that business administration services had picked this up and cancelled the second booking. However, there were two occasions where the total mileage undertaken was less than two miles. On both these occasions it appeared that a cancellation should have been made for these car hires, but this was not undertaken by the individual resulting in the force incurring unnecessary expenditure totalling £51.94.						Wedum	to cancel car hires this will be followed up with them and their line manager to identify reasons why. Appropriate action will be taken with the individual. Responsible Officer: Transport Manager
					Risk Exposu	Root causes				Implementation Date: March 2019	
					sk that individ cars appropr	Incorrect selection of class of vehicle and failure to cancel hires when no longer required.					
				Probability	Financial	Reputational	Operational	Legal	Rating		
				Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5		
2	Employees in the first instance are required to use a car from the force pool or operational bank as an	Yes	No	We obtained to currently 49 o vehicles; 21 (to bookable via to	Medium	Regular reports of vehicle use will be prepared and reviewed					

Ref Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit findings and implications	Priority	Action for management
alternative to using their own vehicle. Operational bank vehicles are those that did belong to departments but have now been pooled for greater efficiency. Force pool vehicles are booked via a system available on the force intranet. Any member of staff can access this and book a pool vehicle if free for a specified period. There is no limit on the booking of pool cars and there is no time limit to which pool cars can be booked. Operational bank vehicles are vehicles allocated to departments and / or stations for use.			sites. Discussions with the Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics and the Customer Relationship Manager confirmed that currently there is no active monitoring of the availability of operational bank and force pool vehicles. We obtained management information from the force's transport system (which records all journeys made by the force fleet) for the month of September and undertook an analysis of the availability of both operational bank and force pool vehicles and noted the following: • At Alverton Court, there was always an excess of available force pool vehicles, however there were occasions where all departmental vehicles were being utilised. At no point where there no vehicles available to use. • At Fulford Road, at various points there were no available force pool vehicles for use. However there were always available departmental vehicles but there utilisation varied by department. Whilst the data showed that there were 10 vehicles based at Fulford Road the fleet list states that there is only one vehicle available, therefore there is inconsistent information recorded. • For Scarborough, according to the data there was one force pool vehicle based at the site and no departmental bank vehicles. However, when we reviewed the fleet list this stated there were six vehicles based at the site available for utilisation. Transport data identified that at various points there were no available force pool vehicles available for use based on there being only one car based there.		to understand the demand for vehicles. The fleet list and transport data will be reconciled to ensure that the information is consistent and that the utilisation reports are being used correctly. Responsible Officer: Transport Manager Implementation Date: March 2019
There is no overall system for accessing these vehicles as they are first come first served and generally available via keys being available on boards in			 At Harrogate, at various points there were no available force pool vehicles available for use. However there were always available departmental vehicles but there utilisation varied by department. Whilst the data showed that there were 10 vehicles based at Harrogate the fleet list states that there is eleven vehicles available, therefore there is inconsistent information recorded. 		
departments.			Risk Exposure Root causes		

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit finding	gs and implic	Priority	Action for management				
				There is a ri departmenta being utilise	Inaccurate inf the transport fleet list.						
				Probability	Financial	Reputational	Operational	Legal	Rating		
				Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5		
3	If force pool or operational bank cars are not available then employees are entitled to use their own private vehicle for mileage. However, the use of own vehicle to undertake a journey is restricted to over 70 miles (round trip) then the mileage over 70 will attract a reduced mileage rate (based on current HMRC rates for	payroll in October 2018, to identify those individuals claiming the highest private mileage. We found that in all cases the correct mileage rate had been applied and that the individual had been paid their claim correctly. We also found that in all cases the claim form was signed by an authorised individual. We spot checked all of the 20 claims made to assess whether the correct mileage had been paid and found the following:						A reminder to all staff will be issued that all mileage claims should be fully completed to include destinations travelled to and from including postcodes. Responsible Officer: Head of Payroll and Pensions			
	fuel only).				nteen cases, t three claims	the correct milea s:	ige had been pa	aid. For t	he		Implementation Date:
	In these circumstances the Maximum journey that can be undertaken in your own			> In or	 In one instance we were not able to make out the destination from the claim, no postcode had been recorded. 						December 2018
	vehicle will be extended to 200 miles (round trip).		In one instance the base postcode had been recorded but the individual's home postcode had not been recorded.								
	Individuals must also have the following in place and					o destinations ha m reason recorde		ed for thr	ee lines of		
	checked by line manager: a valid driving licence, full business insurance, and up-			that there app	peared to be	e claims, we ide force pool vehicl ate the journey w	es available ac	cording t	to the		

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit findings and implications							Action for management		
	to-date vehicle tax and MOT.			possible to de mileage claim		e as							
					Risk Exposi	ure	Root	causes					
						There is a risk that the incorrect mileage could be paid to individuals.			Mileage claims submitted without complete information and paid incorrectly.				
				Probability	Financial	Reputational	Operational	Legal	Rating				
				Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5				
spo be are Th wh for to second un into wh	specifies that pool cars must be utilised before hire cars are booked for individuals. The current process is that when a hire car booking form is completed and sent to business administrative services, business administrative services will undertake a manual intervention and review whether there is availability	The transport decision tree specifies that pool cars must be utilised before hire cars are booked for individuals. The current process is that when a hire car booking form is completed and sent to business administrative services, business administrative services will undertake a manual intervention and review whether there is availability of operational pool cars.	Yes	No	bookings con hire car booki there is a suit hire cars. We reviewed regards to the booking' of pooking' of pooking booking be repooking be repooking be repooking be repooking so this had been booking be repooking so this had been booking be repooking so the booking so the repooking so the repoo	firmed that wing then they able pool vehicle the system at time period pool cars taking with the Head previously idestricted up to the system of the system.	omer Relationsh then business ac will manually loc nicle available in and found that th that pool cars ca g place. I of Estates, Tran dentified and tha until one month i lentified booking	Iministrative se ok on to the system order to minim ere appears to an be booked remaining the proof of the pr	rvices restem to ic ise expe be no lin esulting i stics idea quested wever, w	ceives a dentify if nditure on hit with n 'block htified that that hen we	Low	The system will be updated to only allow bookings of pool cars one month in advance Responsible Officer: Transport Manager Implementation Date: March 2019	
					Risk Exposi		Root causes Individuals undertake block						
					cess pool ca		booking of po		O DIOOK				

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	ith on the second s							Action for management	
				Probability	Financial	Reputational	Operational	Legal	Rating			
				Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5			
5	Hire car expenditure is monitored by the transport team who are provided with monthly reports from the supplier identifying the amount that has been spent in the previous month and also year to date. Minibus and van hire expenditure is also monitored by the transport team. There is no specific individual or team responsible for the monitoring of expenditure relating to private mileage claims as these are included in staff costs for individual departments.	Yes	No	We confirmed Logistics that team and that team and that team and that team and that we reviewed to hire cars ar including any information is of a six month which we obtathe main cost. Discussions we that expenditupant of this six request has to we reviewed this was £282 2018 of £284, this is include have no overs	expenditure they are restand confirmed extra costs in utilised by the presentation of operating with the Head and confirmed and the cost of presentation o	Costs relating to employee mileage claims will be provided to departmental heads. Responsible Officer: Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics to discuss with Finance team Implementation Date: May 2019						
	The Head of Transport and Logistics reports this information to the Executive Team on a six monthly basis in order to give them oversight of the current			Private milea scrutinised.	Risk Exposure Private mileage costs are not scrutinised. No individual has responsibility for mileage budgets. Probability Financial Reputational Operational Legal Rating							

Ref	Control	Adequate control design (yes/no)	Controls complied with (yes/no)	Audit finding	s and implic	cations		Priority	Action for management		
	spend in relation to transport.			Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5		
6	North Yorkshire Police (NYP) have in place a documented procedure in relation to use of vehicles. The procedure covers the use of all marked and unmarked vehicles owned, hired, leased or sponsored by NYP. It also covers the use of private vehicles or any other vehicle that is used by an NYP employee or volunteer in connection with any NYP business.	Yes	No	requirements vehicle, howe documents the appropriate for the staff and of managers are relating to the journey. This in order to man appropriate to man appropriate following the staff and of managers are relating to the journey. This in order to man appropriate following the staff and the staff	placed on incever there is reprocess to or use. the transport fficers when de required to expropriate clearly docuraximise efficients on mechanism and mechanism is a proper of the contraction of the con	chicles procedured dividuals insofar no information with the followed where the decision tree who considering under use the tree and option to take property and minimistranism to hold to of the transport of the transport or procedure.	as being able to the deciding which outlines the ertaking journey make appropriator to making estapproach to use expenditure.	o drive a lure that ch type of e options vs. Staff ate asse ach busi tilisation	a force formally of vehicle is a available and line essment iness of vehicles		Include the transport decision tree as part of an enhanced Use of Vehicles Procedure. Responsible Officer: Head of Estates, Transport and Logistics and Transport Manager Implementation Date: March 2019
	by the transport decision tree which outlines the options available to staff and				Risk Exposi	ıre	Root	causes			
	officers when considering undertaking journeys.				There is a risk that individuals are not held to account for their use of transport. No detailed policy regarding utilisation of transport in place.						
	Staff and line managers are required to use the tree and			Probability	Financial	Reputational	Operational	Legal	Rating		
	make appropriate assessment relating to the appropriate option to take prior to making each business journey.			Unlikely	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	6:5		

APPENDIX A: SCOPE

The scope below is a copy of the original document issued.

Scope of the review

The scope was planned to provide assurance on the controls and mitigations in place relating to the following area:

Objectives of the area under review

To ensure hire and pool vehicles are used in an effective and efficient manner to ensure expenditure is minimised.

When planning the audit, the following areas for consideration and limitations were agreed:

Areas for consideration:

Our review will consider the following:

Hire and pool vehicles

- Policies and procedures are in place and available to staff governing the use of pool and hire cars.
- We will perform substantive testing on hire car bookings to confirm:
 - Car hire booking form is in place, has been authorised (challenged) and raised in a timely manner.
 - Fuel cards used are approved and reconciled to the related journey.
 - > The class of vehicle is appropriate based on the need of transportation requirement.
 - Mini bus / van hire.
 - Cancellations.
- Review of the booking, approval and use of pool / operational bank vehicles. We will consider how employees
 using their own vehicle is considered as part of this process.
- Review of hire car mileage occurred and whether the use of another form of travel was more appropriate.
- Review of how the use of hire cars and pool cars are triangulate to minimise expenditure.
- Contracts in place with the hire car provider is in place and reviewed at regular intervals.
- The use of hire car expenditure is monitored and reported through the Force's governance structure.

Limitations to the scope of the audit assignment:

Hire and pool vehicles

- We will not review the disciplinary process for occurrences of non-compliance with established policies and procedures.
- We will not review the suitability of the system.
- We will not consider the processing of private mileage claims.
- · We will not consider the suitability of the pool or operational bank vehicles
- We will not provide assurance that all legislative requirements have been met.
- We will not review the maintenance of pool or operational bank vehicles and if they are suitable for road use.
- Our testing will be undertaken on a sample basis, so all transactions will not be reviewed or verified.
- · Our work does not provide absolute assurance that material errors, loss or fraud do not exist.

APPENDIX B: FURTHER INFORMATION

Persons interviewed during the audit:

- · Head of Transport, Estates and Logistics
- Head of Pay and Pensions
- Transport Manager
- Customer Relationship Manager
- Business Administration Manager
- Senior Support Officer

Documentation reviewed during the audit:

- Top 20 mileage claims, September 2018 paid in October 2018
- Hire car expenditure, 2018 / 2019
- Transport decision tree
- Use of vehicles procedure

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT

Dan Harris, Head of Internal Audit

Tel: 07792 948767

Daniel.Harris@rsmuk.com

Angela Ward, Senior Manager

Tel: 07966 091471

Angela.Ward@rsmuk.com

Philip Church, Client Manager

Tel: 07528 970082

Philip.Church@rsmuk.com

rsmuk.com

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our review and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Actions for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact. This report, or our work, should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound commercial practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls rests with management and our work should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses that may exist. Neither should our work be relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud and irregularity should there be any.

Our report is prepared solely for the confidential use of the **Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner for North Yorkshire**, and solely for the purposes set out herein. This report should not therefore be regarded as suitable to be used or relied on by any other party wishing to acquire any rights from RSM Risk Assurance Services LLP for any purpose or in any context. Any third party which obtains access to this report or a copy and chooses to rely on it (or any part of it) will do so at its own risk. To the fullest extent permitted by law, RSM Risk Assurance Services LLP will accept no responsibility or liability in respect of this report to any other party and shall not be liable for any loss, damage or expense of whatsoever nature which is caused by any person's reliance on representations in this report.

This report is released to you on the basis that it shall not be copied, referred to or disclosed, in whole or in part (save as otherwise permitted by agreed written terms), without our prior written consent.

We have no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report.

RSM Risk Assurance Services LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales no. OC389499 at 6th floor, 25 Farringdon Street, London EC4A 4AB.